

Dear FCC,

I object to Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary. They say it is news but if it were then why would they need to force their affiliates to broadcast it? This is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

We, the people, give Sinclair the use of the airwaves, and it is thus obligated by law to serve the public interest. This is paramount given the large market share that today's broadcaster's enjoy. The public interest is not met by airing political propaganda, especially on the eve of a close election.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. If Sinclair goes ahead with this anti-Kerry film, then their licenses should not be renewed. Thank you.